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## Chaos

- Definition
- Nonperiodicity
- Sensitivity to initial conditions
- Logistic Map

$$
x_{t+1}=\alpha x_{t}\left(1-x_{t}\right)
$$



## Lyapunov Exponent

- Exponential rate of separation of nearby values
- Chaos when Lyapunov exponent $>0$
- For one-dimensional map $x_{n+1}=f\left(x_{n}\right)$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left(\left|f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)\right|+\ldots+\left|f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right|\right)
$$

- Lyapunov spectra
- Extention of Lyapunov exponent
- For spatially extended systems $x_{n}=\left(x_{n}^{1}, \ldots, x_{n}^{N}\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \left(i \text { th eigenvalue of } J_{n-1} J_{n-2} \ldots J_{0}\right) \\
\left(J_{n}\right)_{i, j}=\frac{\partial x_{n+1}^{i}}{\partial x_{n}^{j}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Cryptology-Definitions

- Cryptosystem
- Plaintext
- Ciphertext
- Cryptography
- Cryptanalysis
- Cryptology
- PRBSG - Pseudorandom Bit Sequence Generator


## Comparison to Chaos

- Similarities
- Sensitivity to initial conditions/avalanche effect
- Long-term behavior
- Pseudo-randomness
- Differences
- PRBSG's prefer integer formulae and results
- Chaotic systems usually real numbers, or floating-point approximations


## One Time Pad

- Form
- Shannon's proof
- Consequences

OTP example
Ciphertext: AGMROW
key plaintext
ANTRMM ATTACK XCHNBT DEFEND

## The Coupled Map Lattice-Form

- State at time $t$ held by $L$ lattice elements
- Based on logistic map: $f(x)=\alpha x(1-x)$
- Coupling

$$
x_{t+1}^{i}=(1-\epsilon) f\left(x_{t}^{i}\right)+\frac{\epsilon}{2 r} \sum_{k=1}^{r}\left(f\left(x_{t}^{i-k}\right)+f\left(x_{t}^{i+k}\right)\right)
$$

- Visually $(r=1)$ :



## Behavior

- Researched variations of
$L=16, r=1, \alpha=4$,
$\epsilon=0.5$


$\alpha=3.3$


$$
\alpha=4.0
$$

## Behavior

Lyapunov Spectra

## Limits of Lyapunov Spectra

- Willeboordse's Lyapunov spectra capture temporal chaos
- Linear correlation coefficient ( $\rho$ ) measures spatial


$$
r=7 ; s=.5 ; \rho=1
$$

$$
r=1 ; s=.2 ; \rho \equiv .7
$$

$$
\rho=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{(n-1) s_{x} s_{y}}
$$

- Lyapunov spectra average s
- Linear correlation coefficient $\rho$ between $x_{n}(1)$ and $x_{n}(2)$


## Behavior

## Distribution of elements (2000 steps)



## Long Term Behavior

- Long-term behavior: Chaotic
- Lyapunov spectra: 0.1-0.3



## Long Term Behavior

- Long-term behavior: Chaotic Periodic
- Lyapunov spectra:
$-\infty$



## Long Term Behavior

- Long-term behavior: Chaotic Periodic
- Lyapunov spectra:
$-\infty$


Period-2 behavior at $n=10000$

## Long Term Behavior

- Not all $L$ display periodic behavior
- Long term cycle length dependent on $L$

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | - | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 4 | - |
| 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| - | - | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | - |
| $-"=$ | not apparently cyclic after 100000 | time steps. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Long Term Behavior

- Depends on $L$, initial conditions



Probability of periodic behavior - threshold $2^{-6}=0.015625$

## Nanjing Cryptosystem

- Mao, Cao, and Liu (2006)
- Nanjing University of Science \& Technology
- Basic idea - Pseudorandom Number Generator for OTP
- Parameters
- $\epsilon=0.5, r=1$
- $L=16$ lattice elements
- $M=32$ (each $x_{n}(i)$ is 32 bits long)
- $V=16$ (lower 16 bits of each $x_{n}(i)$ used as output)
- Holds $L M=(16)(32)=512$ bits of internal state
- Gives $L V=(16)(16)=256$ bits of output for each block (each time $n$ )
- Hardware implementation
- Bit extraction


## Nanjing Cryptanalysis

- Impact of known plaintext
- $V / M$ of the key visible with known plaintext over one block
- Coupling weaknesses

- $x_{n}(i)$ not sensitive to initial conditions of most elements of $x_{n-1}$
- Fails avalanche criterion (single bit change in input changes approximately half the output bits) and bit independence criterion (change in one bit affects bits $j$ and $k$ independently)
- Takes $L / 2$ steps for one lattice to affect all the others


## Known Plaintext Attack

|  | $\mathbf{X}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{4}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{X}_{n}$ | 0.1234 | 0.8745 | 0.1936 | 0.6590 |
| Output $(K)$ | 34 | 45 | 36 | 90 |
| Plaintext (P) | 72 | 73 | 84 | 88 |
|  | MESSAGE START |  | SECRET | STUFF |
| Ciphertext | 06 | 18 | 10 | 78 |
| $\left(C, C_{i} \equiv K_{i}+P_{i}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Known Plaintext | 72 | 73 |  | $?$ |
|  | MESSAGE START | $?$ | $?$ |  |
| Known Output | 34 | 45 |  |  |
| $\left(K_{i} \equiv C_{i}-P_{i}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Known $\mathbf{X}_{n}$ | $0 . X X 34$ | $0 . X X 45$ | $0 . X X X X$ | 0. XXXX |

## Nanjing Piecewise Attack

- Known 32 byte ( 256 bit) plaintext block gives lower 16 bits of each $x_{n}(i)$ with recommended $L=16 M=32 V=16$
- Attack with at least two known-plaintext blocks starting at $n=1$ :
- Brute-force upper 16 bits of $x_{1}(1), x_{1}(2), x_{1}(3)$, that is, $x_{1}(1-3)$ checking against lower 16 bits of $x_{2}(2)$ to reduce the possibilities of those three (only about 1 in each $2^{16}=65536$ remains)
- Find reduced set of possible $x_{1}(2-3)$, then $x_{1}(3-5)$, then $x_{1}(1-5)$



## Nanjing Break

- Implementation details
- Optimized implementation
- Distributed computation
- Performance:

- About 8 hours on 100-200 cores for full break


## Nanjing Reverse Breaking

- Can obtain previous blocks given one known block
- Solve linear system of equations with Gaussian elimination:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{2} f_{n}(i)+\frac{1}{4} f_{n}(i-1)+\frac{1}{4} f_{n}(i+1)=x_{n+1}(i) \\
\frac{1}{2} f_{n}(i+1)+\frac{1}{4} f_{n}(i)+\frac{1}{4} f_{n}(i+2)=x_{n+1}(i+1)
\end{gathered}
$$

... ( $L$ equations, $L$ variables)

- Might not be invertible, but will reduce search space
- Find each $x_{n}(i)$ from $f_{n}(i)$

$$
f^{-1}(x)=(4 \pm \sqrt{16-16 x}) / 2 x
$$

- Two solutions - must check both


## Alternatives

- Increase $L$ to increase internal state size
- Piecewise attack still succeeds
- Only putting together possibilities for first three and first five is slow
- Increase $r$ to stop piecewise attack
- Causes excessive synchronization of lattice elements
- $x_{n}(1) \approx x_{n}(2) \ldots$


Synchronization. Long term behavior with $r=7, L=16$.

## Alternatives

- Iterate $L / 2$ times between extracting bits
- $8 x$ slower
- Still has distribution problems, and linear correlation issues
- Long term behavior still not chaotic
- Reduces to XOR cryptosystem
- Defeated by frequency analysis
- Use $L=7$ or another value that does not become cyclic
- Still has distribution problems, and linear correlation issues
- Long term still fails


## Tianjin Cryptosystem

- Hui, Kai-En, and Tian-Lun (2006)
- Institute of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin, China
- Also PRBSG for OTP
- Parameters
- $\epsilon=0.2, r=1$
- $L=64$ lattice elements
- No detailed calculation information (bit sizes)
- Bit extraction
- Reseed lattice for each block with key and separate PRBSG
- Iterate lattice 116 times
- Extract 1 bit from each element (most significant)


## Tianjin Cryptosystem Analysis

- Strengths
- Designed so brute-force attacker must try more than 100 possibilities for each lattice element
- $100^{64}$ is secure
- Weaknesses
- But (116)(64)( 20 ) $\approx 128000$ operations to encrypt/decrypt each block of 64 bits is unrealistic
- Each key creates PRBSG with period of $2^{64} \approx 10^{19}$
- Not suitable for long term use - 64 bit RC5 key brute forced in 2002


## Further Research

- Long term behavior
- Larger values of $L$, longer time steps for apparently chaotic values of $L$
- What is the pattern that defines which values of $L$ become periodic?
- What about other values of $r$ and $\epsilon$ ?
- New ideas for cryptographically secure PRBSG's


## Summary

- Coupled Map Lattices
- Coupling can synchronize and stabilize
- Not easy to make a practical, secure cryptosystem
- Still plenty of research to be done
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